



Commentary

Expanding Horizons in Cancer Immunotherapy: The Potential of Small Molecule Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors

Wenxue Ma^{1*} and Theia Minev²

¹Department of Medicine, Sanford Stem Cell Institute, Moores Cancer Center, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA; ²CureScience Institute, San Diego, CA, USA

Received: August 05, 2024 | Accepted: September 07, 2024 | Published online: September 24, 2024

The landscape of cancer treatment has witnessed a paradigm shift with the advent of immunotherapy, particularly immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs).^{1–4} Srivastava *et al.*'s review,⁵ "Small molecules as immune checkpoints inhibitors in cancer therapeutics", provides an insightful and comprehensive analysis of the emerging role of small molecules in this transformative field. This commentary aimed to underscore the critical contributions of this review and discuss the broader implications for cancer therapy.

Expanding the arsenal: Small molecule ICIs

Immune checkpoint inhibitors have revolutionized cancer treatment by unleashing the body's immune system to target and destroy cancer cells.^{6,7} Traditional ICIs, such as monoclonal antibodies targeting programmed death-1 (PD-1)/programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4, have been successful in treating various cancers.^{8,9} However, they have some limitations, including high production costs, limited tissue penetration, and significant immune-related adverse events.^{10,11}

Srivastava *et al.*⁵ highlight small molecule ICIs as a promising alternative that addresses many of these limitations. Their review meticulously catalogs various small molecules, including those approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (hereinafter referred to as FDA) and those under clinical trials, highlighting their mechanisms of action, efficacy, and potential advantages over monoclonal antibodies. Small molecules offer several benefits: they are less immunogenic, can be administered orally, and have better tissue penetration, making them an attractive option for cancer therapy.

Table 1 below summarizes the comparative advantages of small molecule ICIs over monoclonal antibodies, highlighting their potential to address many of the limitations of traditional ICI therapies.

Highlighting key advances

The review discusses a range of small molecules, from those dis-

rupting PD-1/PD-L1 interactions to novel compounds targeting other immune checkpoints such as cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4, T cell immunoreceptor with Ig and ITIM domain, and CD47.^{12–16} For instance, the authors highlight FDA-approved drugs like Gefitinib and Ruxolitinib, which have shown potential in downregulating PD-L1 expression, thereby enhancing anti-tumor immunity.^{17,18} They also discuss emerging molecules such as INCBO86550 and CA170, which are currently in clinical trials and show promise in preclinical models.^{8,12} An overview of the small molecules explored in this review, including their targets, clinical trial trials, and noted advantages, is presented in Table 2 below.^{8,17,19–41}

The meticulous detailing of these small molecules, supported by robust preclinical and clinical data, underscores their potential to overcome resistance mechanisms and reduce immune-related adverse events, which are significant hurdles in the current immunotherapy landscape.

Addressing challenges and future directions

While the review highlights the potential of small molecule ICIs, it also acknowledges the remaining challenges. The development of resistance, the need for biomarkers to predict response, and the management of adverse effects all require ongoing research. Srivastava *et al.*⁵ call for more in-depth clinical and biological phenotyping to optimize the therapeutic outcomes of these novel agents.^{11,42}

The review's comprehensive nature and forward-looking perspective make it clear that small molecule ICIs are not merely a fleeting trend but a crucial component of the future of cancer immunotherapy. The potential to combine these agents with existing therapies, including monoclonal antibodies and traditional chemotherapies, opens new avenues for synergistic effects and improved patient outcomes.

The review by Srivastava *et al.*⁵ represents a significant contribution to the field of cancer immunotherapy, offering a detailed and insightful examination of small molecule ICIs. As the field evolves, the insights presented in this review will undoubtedly serve as a foundation for future research and development. The potential of small molecule ICIs to transform cancer treatment is immense, and this review paves the way for further exploration and clinical advancements.

Acknowledgments

None.

*Correspondence to: Wenxue Ma, Department of Medicine, Sanford Stem Cell Institute, Moores Cancer Center, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA. ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9228-6162>. Tel: +1-858-246-1477, Fax: +1-858-246-1113, E-mail: wma@health.ucsd.edu

How to cite this article: Ma W, Minev T. Expanding Horizons in Cancer Immunotherapy: The Potential of Small Molecule Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors. *Oncol Adv* 2024;2(3):158–161. doi: 10.14218/OnA.2024.00020.

Table 1. Comparative advantages of small molecule ICIs over monoclonal antibodies

Feature	Small molecules	Monoclonal antibodies
Administration	Oral availability	Intravenous infusion
Tissue penetration	Better penetration	Limited penetration
Immunogenicity	Less immunogenic	More immunogenic
Production cost	Lower cost	Higher cost
Side effects	Fewer irAEs	More irAEs

ICIs, immune checkpoint inhibitors; irAEs, immune-related adverse events.

Table 2. Summary of key small molecules discussed in the review

Small molecule	Target	Clinical trial status	Noted advantages	References
Gefitinib	PD-L1	FDA-approved	Enhances anti-tumor immunity by inhibiting EGF signaling and destabilizing PD-L1	8,17
Ruxolitinib	JAK-STATs	FDA-approved	Downregulates PD-L1 expression in NSCLC and breast cancer cells	19,20
INCB086550	PD-L1	Phase 2	Reduces tumor growth by activating T cells and blocking PD-1/PD-L1 pathways	12,21
CA170	VISTA, PD-L1	Phase 1 and Phase 2	Dual inhibitor that upregulates PD-L1 expression shows efficacy in preclinical models and early clinical trials, orally administered Phase 1 and Phase 2 clinical trials	22,23
Fedratinib	JAK-STATs	FDA-approved	Targets PD-L1 expression, approved for myelofibrosis	24,25
Selumetinib	MEK1/2	FDA-approved	Inhibits PD-L1 in lung adenocarcinoma cells, used for neurofibromatosis type 1 in children	26,27
Belinostat	HDAC	FDA-approved	Increases PD-L1 expression, enhances in vivo anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies	28,29
Azacytidine	DNA hypo-methylating agent	Phase 2	Upregulates PD-L1 expression potentiates anti-PD-L1 antibodies in various cancer models	30,31
Decitabine	DNA hypo-methylating agent	Phase 2	Similar to Azacytidine, used in combination with PARP inhibitors for leukemia	32,33
Romidepsin	HDAC	FDA-approved	Regulates PD-L1 expression, suppresses cellular immune functions in colon cancer	34,35
Panobinostat	HDAC	FDA-approved	Oral DAC inhibitor, used for multiple myeloma	36,37
Vorinostat	HDAC	FDA-approved	Increases PD-L1 expression, enhances the efficacy of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies	38,39
Metformin	AMPK	Widely used for anti-diabetic drug	Activates T-cells, prevents glycosylation of PD-L1, promotes antitumor immunity	40,41

AMPK, adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase; DAC, deacetylase; EGF, epidermal growth factor; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; HDAC, histone deacetylase; JAK-STAT, janus kinase-signal transducer and activator of transcription; MEK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PARP, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase; PD-1, programmed death-1; PD-L1, programmed death-ligand 1; VISTA, V-domain immunoglobulin suppressor of T cell activation.

Funding

None.

Conflict of interest

One of the authors, Wenzhe Ma has been an editorial board member of *Oncology Advances* since May 2021. The authors have no other conflict of interest to note.

Author contributions

Conceptualization (WM), manuscript writing and editing (TM,

WM). All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Shiravand Y, Khodadadi F, Kashani SMA, Hosseini-Fard SR, Hosseini S, Sadeghirad H, et al. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Cancer Therapy. *Curr Oncol* 2022;29(5):3044–3060. doi:10.3390/curreoncol29050247, PMID:35621637.
- [2] Yao L, Jia G, Lu L, Bao Y, Ma W. Factors affecting tumor responders and predictive biomarkers of toxicities in cancer patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2020;85:106628. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2020.106628, PMID:32474388.
- [3] Drobni ZD, Gongora C, Taron J, Suero-Abreu GA, Karady J, Gilman

- HK, et al. Impact of immune checkpoint inhibitors on atherosclerosis progression in patients with lung cancer. *J Immunother Cancer* 2023;11(7):e007307. doi:10.1136/jitc-2023-007307, PMID:37433718.
- [4] Blum SM, Rouhani SJ, Sullivan RJ. Effects of immune-related adverse events (irAEs) and their treatment on antitumor immune responses. *Immunol Rev* 2023;318(1):167–178. doi:10.1111/imr.13262, PMID:37578634.
- [5] Srivastava N, Saxena A, Saxena AK. Small Molecules as Immune Check points inhibitors in Cancer Therapeutics. *Oncol Adv* 2024;2(3):148–157. doi:10.14218/OnA.2024.00019.
- [6] Walsh RJ, Sundar R, Lim JSJ. Immune checkpoint inhibitor combinations-current and emerging strategies. *Br J Cancer* 2023;128(8):1415–1417. doi:10.1038/s41416-023-02181-6, PMID:36747017.
- [7] Baxevanis CN. Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors in Cancer Therapy-How Can We Improve Clinical Benefits? *Cancers (Basel)* 2023;15(3):881. doi:10.3390/cancers15030881, PMID:36765836.
- [8] Javed SA, Najmi A, Ahsan W, Zoghebi K. Targeting PD-1/PD-L-1 immune checkpoint inhibition for cancer immunotherapy: success and challenges. *Front Immunol* 2024;15:1383456. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2024.1383456, PMID:38660299.
- [9] Babamohammadi M, Mohammadi N, Faryadi E, Haddadi M, Merati A, Ghobadinezhad F, et al. Anti-CTLA-4 nanobody as a promising approach in cancer immunotherapy. *Cell Death Dis* 2024;15(1):17. doi:10.1038/s41419-023-06391-x, PMID:38191571.
- [10] Mohite P, Yadav V, Pandhare R, Maitra S, Saleem RM, et al. Revolutionizing Cancer Treatment: Unleashing the Power of Viral Vaccines, Monoclonal Antibodies, and Proteolysis-Targeting Chimeras in the New Era of Immunotherapy. *ACS Omega* 2024;9(7):7277–7295. doi:10.1021/acsomega.3c06501, PMID:38405458.
- [11] Yin Q, Wu L, Han L, Zheng X, Tong R, Li L, et al. Immune-related adverse events of immune checkpoint inhibitors: a review. *Front Immunol* 2023;14:1167975. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1167975, PMID:37304306.
- [12] Chen L, Zhao X, Liu X, Ouyang Y, Xu C, Shi Y. Development of small molecule drugs targeting immune checkpoints. *Cancer Biol Med* 2024;21(5):382–399. doi:10.20892/j.issn.2095-3941.2024.0034, PMID:3872005.
- [13] Chen Q, Guo X, Ma W. Opportunities and challenges of CD47-targeted therapy in cancer immunotherapy. *Oncol Res* 2023;32(1):49–60. doi:10.32604/or.2023.042383, PMID:38188674.
- [14] Lau APY, Khavkine Binstock SS, Thu KL. CD47: The Next Frontier in Immune Checkpoint Blockade for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 2023;15(21):5229. doi:10.3390/cancers15215229, PMID:37958404.
- [15] Zhang P, Liu X, Gu Z, Jiang Z, Zhao S, Song Y, et al. Targeting TIGIT for cancer immunotherapy: recent advances and future directions. *Biomark Res* 2024;12(1):7. doi:10.1186/s40364-023-00543-z, PMID:38229100.
- [16] Zhao J, Li L, Yin H, Feng X, Lu Q. TIGIT: An emerging immune checkpoint target for immunotherapy in autoimmune disease and cancer. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2023;120:110358. doi:10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110358, PMID:37262959.
- [17] Lin X, Kang K, Chen P, Zeng Z, Li G, Xiong W, et al. Regulatory mechanisms of PD-1/PD-L1 in cancers. *Mol Cancer* 2024;23(1):108. doi:10.1186/s12943-024-02023-w, PMID:38762484.
- [18] Yin S, Chen Z, Chen D, Yan D. Strategies targeting PD-L1 expression and associated opportunities for cancer combination therapy. *Theranostics* 2023;13(5):1520–1544. doi:10.7150/thno.80091, PMID:37056572.
- [19] Xue C, Yao Q, Gu X, Shi Q, Yuan X, Chu Q, et al. Evolving cognition of the JAK-STAT signaling pathway: autoimmune disorders and cancer. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2023;8(1):204. doi:10.1038/s41392-023-01468-7, PMID:37208335.
- [20] Qureshy Z, Johnson DE, Grandis JR. Targeting the JAK/STAT pathway in solid tumors. *J Cancer Metastasis Treat* 2020;6:27. PMID:33521321.
- [21] Koblish HK, Wu L, Wang LS, Liu PCC, Wynn R, Rios-Doria J, et al. Characterization of INCB086550: A Potent and Novel Small-Molecule PD-L1 Inhibitor. *Cancer Discov* 2022;12(6):1482–1499. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-21-1156, PMID:35254416.
- [22] Sasikumar PG, Sudarshan NS, Adurthi S, Ramachandra RK, Samiulla DS, Lakshminarasimhan A, et al. PD-1 derived CA-170 is an oral immune checkpoint inhibitor that exhibits preclinical anti-tumor efficacy. *Commun Biol* 2021;4(1):699. doi:10.1038/s42003-021-02191-1, PMID:34103659.
- [23] Lee JJ, Powderly JD, Patel MR, Brody J, Hamilton EP, Infante JR, et al. Phase 1 trial of CA-170, a novel oral small molecule dual inhibitor of immune checkpoints PD-1 and VISTA, in patients (pts) with advanced solid tumor or lymphomas. *J Clin Oncol* 2017;35(15_suppl):TPS3099–TPS3099. doi:10.1200/JCO.2017.35.15_suppl.TPS3099.
- [24] Kong T, Yu L, Laranjeira ABA, Fisher DAC, He F, Cox MJ, et al. Comprehensive profiling of clinical JAK inhibitors in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Am J Hematol* 2023;98(7):1029–1042. doi:10.1002/ajh.26935, PMID:37203407.
- [25] Saha C, Harrison C. Fedratinib, the first selective JAK2 inhibitor approved for treatment of myelofibrosis - an option beyond ruxolitinib. *Expert Rev Hematol* 2022;15(7):583–595. doi:10.1080/17474086.2022.2098105, PMID:35787092.
- [26] Chénard-Poirier M, Hansen AR, Gutierrez ME, Rasco D, Xing Y, Chen LC, et al. A phase 1 trial of the MEK inhibitor selumetinib in combination with pembrolizumab for advanced or metastatic solid tumors. *Invest New Drugs* 2024;42(3):241–251. doi:10.1007/s10637-024-01428-0, PMID:38483782.
- [27] Armstrong AE, Belzberg AJ, Crawford JR, Hirbe AC, Wang ZJ. Treatment decisions and the use of MEK inhibitors for children with neurofibromatosis type 1-related plexiform neurofibromas. *BMC Cancer* 2023;23(1):553. doi:10.1186/s12885-023-10996-y, PMID:37328781.
- [28] Wen T, Sun G, Jiang W, He X, Shi Y, Ma F, et al. Histone deacetylases inhibitor chidamide synergizes with humanized PD1 antibody to enhance T-cell chemokine expression and augment Ifn- γ response in NK-T cell lymphoma. *EBioMedicine* 2023;87:104420. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104420, PMID:36592514.
- [29] Shen C, Li M, Duan Y, Jiang X, Hou X, Xue F, et al. HDAC inhibitors enhance the anti-tumor effect of immunotherapies in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Front Immunol* 2023;14:1170207. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1170207, PMID:37304265.
- [30] Ebelt ND, Manuel ER. 5-Azacytidine-Mediated Modulation of the Immune Microenvironment in Murine Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cancers (Basel)* 2022;15(1):118. doi:10.3390/cancers15010118, PMID:36612115.
- [31] Wong KK, Hassan R, Yaacob NS. Hypomethylating Agents and Immunotherapy: Therapeutic Synergism in Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Myelodysplastic Syndromes. *Front Oncol* 2021;11:624742. doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.624742, PMID:33718188.
- [32] Gallimore F, Fandy TE. Therapeutic Applications of Azanucleoside Analogs as DNA Demethylating Agents. *Epigenomes* 2023;7(3):12. doi:10.3390/epigenomes7030012, PMID:37489400.
- [33] Padella A, Ghelli Luserna Di Rorà A, Marconi G, Ghetti M, Martinelli G, Simonetti G. Targeting PARP proteins in acute leukemia: DNA damage response inhibition and therapeutic strategies. *J Hematol Oncol* 2022;15(1):10. doi:10.1186/s13045-022-01228-0, PMID:35065680.
- [34] Lian B, Chen X, Shen K. Inhibition of histone deacetylases attenuates tumor progression and improves immunotherapy in breast cancer. *Front Immunol* 2023;14:1164514. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1164514, PMID:36969235.
- [35] Shi Y, Fu Y, Zhang X, Zhao G, Yao Y, Guo Y, et al. Romidepsin (FK228) regulates the expression of the immune checkpoint ligand PD-L1 and suppresses cellular immune functions in colon cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2021;70(1):61–73. doi:10.1007/s00262-020-02653-1, PMID:32632663.
- [36] Zhou YB, Zhang YM, Huang HH, Shen LJ, Han XF, Hu XB, et al. Pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic, and phase 1a study of bishitanostat, a novel histone deacetylase inhibitor, for the treatment of relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 2022;43(4):1091–1099. doi:10.1038/s41401-021-00728-y, PMID:34341512.
- [37] Eleutherakis-Papaiakovou E, Kanellias N, Kastritis E, Gavriatopoulou M, Terpos E, Dimopoulos MA. Efficacy of Panobinostat for the Treatment of Multiple Myeloma. *J Oncol* 2020;2020:7131802. doi:10.1155/2020/7131802, PMID:32411240.
- [38] Mei M, Chen L, Godfrey J, Song J, Egelston C, Puverel S, et al. Pembrolizumab plus vorinostat induces responses in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma refractory to prior PD-1 blockade. *Blood* 2023;

- 142(16):1359–1370. doi:10.1182/blood.2023020485, PMID:37339586.
- [39] Laengle J, Kabiljo J, Hunter L, Homola J, Prodinger S, Egger G, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors valproic acid and vorinostat enhance trastuzumab-mediated antibody-dependent cell-mediated phagocytosis. *J Immunother Cancer* 2020;8(1):e000195. doi:10.1136/jitc-2019-000195, PMID:31940587.
- [40] Kim K, Yang WH, Jung YS, Cha JH. A new aspect of an old friend: the beneficial effect of metformin on anti-tumor immunity. *BMB Rep* 2020;53(10):512–520. doi:10.5483/BMBRep.2020.53.10.149, PMID:32731915.
- [41] Lord SR, Harris AL. Is it still worth pursuing the repurposing of metformin as a cancer therapeutic? *Br J Cancer* 2023;128(6):958–966. doi:10.1038/s41416-023-02204-2, PMID:36823364.
- [42] Les I, Martínez M, Pérez-Francisco I, Cabero M, Teijeira L, Arrazubi V, et al. Predictive Biomarkers for Checkpoint Inhibitor Immune-Related Adverse Events. *Cancers (Basel)* 2023;15(5):1629. doi:10.3390/cancers15051629, PMID:36900420.